Bone 114 (2018) 62-71



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Bone



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bone

Full Length Article

Genome-wide association study of extreme high bone mass: Contribution of common genetic variation to extreme BMD phenotypes and potential novel BMD-associated genes



Celia L. Gregson^{a,*}, Felicity Newell^b, Paul J. Leo^b, Graeme R. Clark^b, Lavinia Paternoster^c, Mhairi Marshall^b, Vincenzo Forgetta^d, John A. Morris^d, Bing Ge^{d,e}, Xiao Bao^b, J.H. Duncan Bassett^f, Graham R. Williams^f, Scott E. Youlten^g, Peter I. Croucher^{g,h}, George Davey Smith^c, David M. Evans^{c,i}, John P. Kemp^{c,i}, Matthew A. Brown^b, Jon H. Tobias^a, Emma L. Duncan^{b,j}

^a Musculoskeletal Research Unit, Translational Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

^b Translational Genomics Group, Institute of Health and Biomedical Innovation, Queensland University of Technology at Translational Research Institute, 37 Kent Street, Woolloongabba 4102, OLD, Australia

^d Department of Human Genetics, McGill University and Genome Quebec Innovation Centre, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

^e Lady Davis Institute, Jewish General Hospital, McGill University, Montreal, Quebec, Canada

^g The Garvan Institute of Medical Research, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

^h St Vincent's Clinical School, University of New South Wales Medicine, Sydney, New South Wales, Australia

ⁱ University of Queensland Diamantina Institute, Translational Research Institute, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

^j Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Bone mineral density NPR3 SPON1 Endochondral ossification Wnt signalling

ABSTRACT

Background: Generalised high bone mass (HBM), associated with features of a mild skeletal dysplasia, has a prevalence of 0.18% in a UK DXA-scanned adult population. We hypothesized that the genetic component of extreme HBM includes contributions from common variants of small effect and rarer variants of large effect, both enriched in an extreme phenotype cohort.

Methods: We performed a genome-wide association study (GWAS) of adults with either extreme high or low BMD. Adults included individuals with unexplained extreme HBM (n = 240) from the UK with BMD Z-scores \geq + 3.2, high BMD females from the Anglo-Australasian Osteoporosis Genetics Consortium (AOGC) (n = 1055) with Z-scores + 1.5 to + 4.0 and low BMD females also part of AOGC (n = 900), with Z-scores - 1.5 to - 4.0. Following imputation, we tested association between 6,379,332 SNPs and total hip and lumbar spine BMD Z-scores. For potential target genes, we assessed expression in human osteoblasts and murine osteocytes.

Results: We observed significant enrichment for associations with established BMD-associated loci, particularly those known to regulate endochondral ossification and Wnt signalling, suggesting that part of the genetic contribution to unexplained HBM is polygenic. Further, we identified associations exceeding genome-wide significance between BMD and four loci: two established BMD-associated loci (5q14.3 containing *MEF2C* and 1p36.12 containing *WNT4*) and two novel loci: 5p13.3 containing *NPR3* (rs9292469; minor allele frequency [MAF] = 0.33%) associated with lumbar spine BMD and 11p15.2 containing *SPON1* (rs2697825; MAF = 0.17%) associated with total hip BMD. Mouse models with mutations in either *Npr3* or *Spon1* have been reported, both have altered skeletal phenotypes, providing in vivo validation that these genes are physiologically important in bone. *NRP3* regulates endochondral ossification and skeletal growth, whilst *SPON1* modulates TGF- β regulated BMP-driven osteoblast differentiation. Rs9292469 (downstream of *NPR3*) also showed some evidence for association with forearm BMD in the independent GEFOS sample (n = 32,965). We found *Spon1* was highly expressed in murine osteocytes from the tibiae, femora, humeri and calvaria, whereas *Npr3* expression was more variable.

^c MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

^f Molecular Endocrinology Laboratory, Department of Medicine, Imperial College London, Hammersmith Campus, London W12 ONN, UK

^{*} Corresponding author at: Musculoskeletal Research Unit, University of Bristol, Learning & Research Building (Level 1), Southmead Hospital, Bristol BS10 5NB, UK. *E-mail address:* celia.gregson@bristol.ac.uk (C.L. Gregson).

Conclusion: We report the most extreme-truncate GWAS of BMD performed to date. Our findings, suggest potentially new anabolic bone regulatory pathways that warrant further study.

1. Introduction

Osteoporotic fractures are a major cause of morbidity and mortality, with associated healthcare costs exceeding \$20 billion in the United States [1]. Understanding genetic regulation of bone signalling pathways, which underlie the pathogenesis of skeletal disease, aids development of novel therapeutics to increase bone mass [2]. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of bone density phenotypes, drawn mainly from general populations, have identified multiple BMD-associated loci, although together these only explain a relatively small proportion (5.8–11.8%) of variance in bone phenotypes [3, 4]. An alternative approach is to focus on rare individuals who represent extremes of a quantitative phenotype, i.e. BMD, to identify variants of relatively large effect. At the very extremes, high bone mass (HBM) and low bone mass (LBM) occur due to monogenic mutations (e.g. in SOST, LRP5 or LRP4 in HBM, and COL1A1, COL1A2, LRP5 and others in LBM); however, such monogenic disorders fail to explain the vast majority of individuals with either HBM or LBM [5]. Conceivably, extreme HBM or LBM may both constitute polygenic conditions, either explained by variants in the same genes that determine BMD in the general population [3], or in novel extreme bone mass genes. In support of this, a previous GWAS of a moderate high and low BMD population replicated associations in 21 loci previously established to be BMD-associated from analyses of normal populations and identified six new genetic associations, highlighting the efficiency of extreme-truncated selection for quantitative trait GWAS design [6]. Such augmentation of statistical power through analysis of extreme phenotypes has been advantageous in a range of clinical phenotypes [7–10] and is an established approach to investigate complex disease [11, 12].

Unexplained generalised HBM has a prevalence of 0.18% amongst a UK DXA-scanned adult population; affected individuals also have features suggestive of a mild skeletal dysplasia, such as mandibular enlargement and enthesophytes [13, 14]. We hypothesized that unexplained extreme HBM is genetically determined by variation in both established and novel BMD loci. In this GWAS of individuals with unexplained extreme HBM, we aimed to first determine whether variants in known loci account for BMD variation in this population. Secondly,

we aimed to identify novel loci and validate the use of extreme BMD populations for genetic discovery. We augmented our unexplained extreme HBM population with a further moderate high BMD population and made comparison with an extreme-low BMD population to enhance statistical power. We investigated associated gene expression using human osteoblast expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) and novel murine osteocyte expression data.

2. Methods

We investigated three populations to identify genetic determinants of unexplained high BMD (Fig. 1, Supplementary Table 1). Individuals included: [1] UK-based unexplained extreme HBM index cases (n = 240) with total hip (TH) or first lumbar vertebra (L1) Z-score \geq + 3.2; [2] more moderate high BMD females from the Anglo-Australasian Osteoporosis Genetics Consortium (AOGC) (n = 1055) with TH Z-scores between + 1.5 and + 4.0 [3] low BMD females also part of AOGC (n = 900), with TH Z-scores between -1.5 and -4.0, representing an extreme-low 'super-control' group, enhancing statistical power. Australian individuals who self-identify as Caucasian have been shown to be representative of UK populations regarding population stratification [6].

2.1.1. High bone mass cases (Supplementary methods)

The HBM study is a UK based multi-centred observational study of adults with unexplained HBM, identified incidentally on routine clinical DXA scanning. Full details of DXA database screening and participant recruitment have previously been reported [13]. In brief, DXA databases containing 335,115 DXA scans were initially searched for a BMD T or Z-score $\geq +4$ at any site within the lumbar spine (LS) or hip, at UK 13 centres. All 1505 DXA images were visually inspected; 962 cases with established and/or artefactual causes of raised BMD were excluded, taking particular care to exclude osteoarthritic artefacts affecting the lumbar spine (see Supplementary Methods 1). A generalised HBM trait would be expected to affect both spine and hip BMD, though



Fig. 1. Flow diagram explaining recruitment of study populations with BMD data availability enabling lumbar spine (LS) and total hip (TH) GWAS (Stage 1). BMD; Bone Mineral Density. AOGC; Anglo-Australasian Osteoporosis Genetics Consortium. QC; Quality Control steps including exclusion of ethnic outliers.

not necessarily to the same extent. Hence, we refined the definition of HBM index cases as a) L1 Z-score of \geq + 3.2 plus TH Z-score of \geq + 1.2 and/or b) TH Z-score \geq + 3.2 plus L1 Z-score of \geq + 1.2 (using age and gender-adjusted BMD Z-scores). A threshold of + 3.2 was in keeping with the only published precedent for identifying HBM previously described using DXA [15], and these threshold combinations most appropriately differentiated generalised HBM from artefact. Z rather than T-score was used to limit age bias. Of 533 unexplained HBM index cases invited to participate, 248 (47%) were recruited between 2008 and 2010 [13], aged 18–90 years. After Sanger sequencing all HBM index cases, we excluded seven with *LRP5* mutations and one who carried a *SOST* mutation [5], leaving 240 unexplained HBM individuals for GWAS.

This study was approved by the Bath Multi-centre Research Ethics Committee (REC: 05/Q2001/78) and at each NHS Local REC.

2.1.2. Anglo-Australasian Osteoporosis Genetics Consortium (AOGC) high BMD cases and low BMD controls (Supplementary methods)

The AOGC population included 1128 Australian, 74 New Zealand and 753 British women, aged between 55 and 85 years, five or more years postmenopausal, with either moderate high BMD (age and gender-adjusted BMD Z-scores of +1.5 to +4.0, n = 1055) or low BMD (age- and gender-adjusted BMD Z-scores of -4.0 to -1.5, n = 900) [6] (Fig. 1). Low BMD controls were excluded if they had secondary causes of osteoporosis (as previously described [6]).

The AOGC study was approved by the Queensland Office of Human Research Ethics Committee (Ref: 2008/018), the University of Queensland (Ref: 200800376) and/or relevant research ethics authorities at each participating centre. Some participants were recruited through genetic and/or clinical studies (all with appropriate ethical approval) but also provided written informed consent to contribute to collaborative genetic studies [16–18].

2.2. Genotyping and quality control

SNP genotyping was performed using Infinium OmniExpress-12v1.0 for the UK unexplained HBM cases (n = 240); and Illumina Infinium II HumHap300 (n = 140), 370CNVDuo (n = 4), 370CNVOuad (n = 1882) and 610Quad (n = 10) chips for the AOGC high and low BMD individuals (n = 2036), at the University of Queensland Diamantina Institute, Brisbane, Australia. For each study population genotype clustering was performed using Illumina's BeadStudio software; all SNPs with quality scores < 0.15 and all individuals with <98% genotyping successes were excluded. Cluster plots from the 500 most strongly associated loci were manually inspected and poorly clustering SNPs excluded from analysis. Using PLINK, an IBS/IBD analysis was used to detect and exclude samples with cryptic relatedness [19]. SNPs with minor allele frequency (MAF) < 1%, those with excess missingness (> 95%) and those not in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (p < 1×10 -6) were removed, leaving 181,323 SNPs in total shared across all chip types.

2.3. Population stratification

We used EIGENSTRAT software to detect population stratification, excluding 24 regions of long range linkage disequilibrium (LD) including the MHC, before running a principal component analysis using merged genotype data [20]. Eight unexplained HBM cases were removed as ethnic outliers (Fig. 1). Four eigenvectors, principal components of a genetic covariance matrix, were used as covariates to adjust for population stratification in all GWAS models.

2.4. Imputation

Imputation analyses were conducted for all datasets separately. Phasing was carried out using SHAPEIT [21] and imputation with IMPUTE2 [22], using a merged 1000G/UK10K reference dataset as the reference set of haplotypes, enabling imputation down to MAF 0.01. SNPs with high imputation quality ('info score' > 0.8) were included [23]; this stringent threshold was used as the two populations were imputed separately. All SNPs were filtered for missing data < 0.05, HWE 1×10^{-6} and MAF > 0.01 (thus excluding rare HBM-causing monogenic disease). Additional concordance filtering was performed, excluding genotyped SNPs with $r^2 < 0.9$ between original and masked IMPUTE2 imputed genotype, and those imputed SNPs in linkage disequilibrium (LD) ($r^2 > 0.2$) with these excluded SNPs [24]. We further excluded known problematic UK10K imputed SNPs [25], and SNPs not present in both the 1000G and UK10K cohort imputation panels.

2.5. Stage 1: genome-wide association analysis

Association analyses for imputed genotypes were assessed with probabilistic genotypes under an additive (per allele) linear genetic model. Following imputation, 6,379,332 SNPs were tested for association in two quantitative trait analyses using the software SNPTEST. Quantitative analyses used genotyping data from individuals with UK unexplained HBM (n = 232), AOGC high BMD (n = 1026) and AOGC low BMD (n = 852) (Fig. 1), and tested association between SNPs and (i) TH-BMD Z-score (combined n = 2110) and (ii) LS-BMD Z-score (combined n = 1380). To explore whether genetic associations were specific to the very extremes of the BMD distribution, two additional quantitative analyses also tested these same associations restricted to the UK HBM cases (n = 232) and AOGC low BMD (n = 852) individuals again for (i) TH-BMD Z-score (n = 1084) and (ii) LS-BMD Z-score (n = 767). Quantitative models were adjusted for a priori covariates age, age², study centre, and four eigenvectors. BMD Z-score was used as it standardises BMD by gender. Because gender was not evenly distributed within study populations (only 44 male individuals, all amongst unexplained HBM cases), inclusion as a covariate risks introducing sparse data bias [26], hence gender was not used as a covariate. The genomic inflation factors (λ) were 1.016 and 0.9973 for TH-BMD and LS-BMD respectively, and 1.037 and 1.016 in the restricted analyses (Supplementary Fig. 1). All LocusZoom association plots included both genotyped and imputed SNPs [27]. In pre-planned sensitivity analyses, all quantitative models were re-run with additional adjustment for height. Weight was not included as a covariate as increased android fat mass constitutes part of the unexplained HBM phenotype [28].

To establish whether BMD-increasing alleles were enriched in unexplained HBM, we performed a case-control GWAS of HBM cases versus unselected control individuals from the well described second Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium (WTCCC2) (n = 5667) representing the general population, in whom BMD whilst unmeasured, is considered to be normal [29].

2.6. Stage 2: replication in GEFOS

We used publicly available data from the GEFOS 2015 meta-analysis (n = 32,965) of whole-genome sequencing, whole-exome sequencing, and deep imputation of genotype data (www.gefos.org/?q=content/data-release-2015) for SNPs associated with femoral neck (FN), LS and forearm BMD (chiefly distal radius measured), which had been adjusted for age, age², gender and weight [30]. As the AOGC cohort had contributed to the GEFOS meta-data, the GEFOS 2015 meta-analysis was rerun excluding AOGC data (n = 30,970), with results used to assess replication of SNPs surpassing GWA significance (p < 5×10^{-8}) in Stage 1.

2.7. Stage 3: gene expression

2.7.1. Gene expression in primary human osteoblasts

To assess whether identified variants were involved in the

regulation of messenger RNA levels via eQTLs, we performed cis-eQTL analyses of SNPs surpassing the GWA threshold (p < 5×10^{-8}) in 95 primary human osteoblasts (as described previously [31]; GEO reference GSE15678), using genome-wide SNP data imputed to the combined UK10K and 1000G Phase 1 v3 reference panel [23]. Using $\alpha = 0.05$ with Bonferroni correction, we aimed to identify gene targets for novel SNPs identified in Stage 1 (n = 4) and SNPs in LD (n = 31; $r^2 > 0.8$), by examining gene expression profiles of all genes within 1 Mb of each lead SNP (included 24 gene probes).

2.7.2. Gene expression in murine osteocytes

Osteocyte expression was determined through an analysis of whole transcriptome sequencing data from the primary osteocytes of four different bone types (tibia, femur, humerus and calvaria) from mice (marrow removed, 16 week old female mice, strain C57BL6/NTac, n = 8 per bone) [32]. RNA-sequencing reads were trimmed of low quality data using trimgalore [33], aligned to the GRCm38.p3 genome guided by the GENCODE M5 transcriptome annotation [34] using STAR [35] and expression quantified using RSEM [36]. A threshold of gene expression was determined based on the distribution of FPKM-normalised (Fragments Per Kilobase per Million mapped reads) gene expression for each sample [37]. "Expressed" genes were above this threshold for all 8 of 8 replicates in any bone type. Osteocyte enriched expression of these genes in the skeleton was determined by comparing transcriptome-sequencing data from bone-samples with osteocytes isolated versus those samples with marrow left intact (10-week old male mice, strain C57BL6/NTac, n = 5 per group) [32].

3. Results

3.1. Stage 1: analyses concerning established BMD-associated loci

In total, 49 of 64 SNPs previously associated at GWAS significance level with DXA BMD and/or fracture by Estrada et al. [3] were available in our imputed dataset (15 were not as they were either not imputed (n = 6), on chromosome X (n = 1), or were excluded by filtering for concordance (n = 7) or infoscore (n = 1)). The previously identified lead SNP at the *MEF2C* locus was associated with TH BMD at genomewide significance (p < 5×10^{-10}), and lead SNPs at *WNT4/ZBTB40*, *SOX6* and *CTNNB1* loci were strongly suggestive of association $(p < 1 \times 10^{-6})$. Overall, 29 of the available SNPs (59%) were associated with BMD at p < 0.05 (Supplementary Table 2). QQ plots of p values for the 49 tested SNPs assessing association at either TH or LS BMD (Supplementary Fig. 2) showed enrichment for known BMD-associated loci (i.e. observed p values were much smaller than those expected). However, to determine whether this enrichment is explained by excess variation in common BMD-increasing alleles and/or BMD-decreasing alleles, we ran two case-control GWAS' (Fig. 2). The first compared unexplained HBM cases against AOGC low BMD controls confirming the enrichment seen in quantitative GWAS. The second compared unexplained HBM cases against WTCCC2 controls considered to have normal BMD, confirming that unexplained HBM is polygenic reflecting enrichment at known common BMD-associated loci (Fig. 2).

As expected, β s for BMD measured at both the TH and LS were substantially larger in this HBM GWAS compared to the published general population GWAS from GEFOS [3]; all directions of effect were consistent (Supplementary Table 2), including when analysis was re-run including additional co-variates of gender and weight (Supplementary Table 3).

3.2. Stage 1: GWAS discovery findings

Our quantitative trait analyses identified SNPs at four loci that surpassed a genome-wide significant threshold (p $< 5 \times 10^{-8}$) (Table 1, Supplementary Fig. 3). Two loci, near NPR3 and within SPON1, have not been implicated in GWAS of BMD previously, whereas MEF2C and WNT4/ZBTB40 loci represent established BMD-associated regions [3] (Supplementary Fig. 4a & b). MEF2C and WNT4/ZBTB40 loci were most strongly associated with TH-BMD Z-score, as was SPON1 in analyses restricted to unexplained HBM and AOGC low-BMD individuals, suggesting this association may be specific to extreme BMD (rs2697825 lies within intron 3 of SPON1, Fig. 3). The NPR3 locus was most strongly associated with LS-BMD Z-score (rs9292469 is 48.5 kb 3' of NPR3 with the LD block including part of this gene) (Fig. 4). As a SNP in the NPR3 locus has previously been associated with adult height and truncal length [38], we re-ran our GWAS model with additional height adjustment; however, this did not attenuate our identified association (β 0.23, p = 2.25 × 10⁻⁸) (Supplementary Table 4, Supplementary Fig. 5). Height adjustment did however partially attenuate the association between rs2697825 (within the SPON1 locus) and TH-BMD Z-

A HBM Cases AOGC Low BMD Controls





Fig. 2. QQ plot for p values for 49 established BMDassociated loci in Case-Control GWAS of (A) unexplained HBM cases vs. AOGC low BMD controls, and (B) unexplained HBM cases vs. the second WTCCC2 (Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium) controls. In both plots, the strength of observed associations for many SNPs far exceeded expected values.

Table 1

Stage 1 - genome-wide significant SNPs with $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ associated with BMD Z-score measured at the total hip and lumbar spine.

rsID	Locus	Position	Closest gene/candidate	EA	EAF	Total hi	ip BMD Z-sc	ore		Lumba	r spine BMI	Z-score	
						n	β	SE	р	n	β	SE	р
rs1366594 rs113784679 rs9292469 rs2697825	5q14.3 1p36.12 5p13.3 11p15.2	88376061 22648479 32840210 14089431	MEF2C WNT4/ZBTB40 NPR3 SPON1	C T T G	0.47 0.04 0.33 0.17	2110 2110 2110 1084 ^a	-0.191 0.511 0.108 0.310	0.031 0.088 0.033 0.056	$\begin{array}{l} \textbf{4.83}\times\textbf{10}^{-10}\\ \textbf{8.40}\times\textbf{10}^{-9}\\ \textbf{1.00}\times\textbf{10}^{-3}\\ \textbf{4.93}\times\textbf{10}^{-8} \end{array}$	1380 1380 1380 767 ^a	-0.103 0.215 0.232 0.188	0.039 0.115 0.041 0.065	$\begin{array}{l} 7.85\times10^{-3}\\ 6.19\times10^{-2}\\ \textbf{2.70}\times10^{-8}\\ 3.92\times10^{-3} \end{array}$

Chromosome position using: GRCh37.p13.

Quantitative analysis results shown use data from HBM, AOGC high BMD and AOGC low BMD cohorts, except ^awhich reflects analyses restricted to HBM and AOGC low BMD cohorts. Model is adjusted for age, age², centre and 4 PCs.

 $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ appears in bold.

EA: Effect Allele (BMD increasing); EAF: Effect Allele Frequency. SE: Standard Error. β: effect estimate – represents change in BMD Z-score per copy of the SNP EA.

score (Supplementary Table 4). We further identified 75 loci suggestive for association ($p < 5 \times 10^{-5}$) with TH-BMD and 71 with LS-BMD (Supplementary Tables 5 & 6). Results were unchanged in a sensitivity analysis excluding the 48 men (data not shown).

3.3. Stage 2: replication

The four SNPs identified in Stage 1 were assessed for replication using the GEFOS 2015 meta-analysis adjusted for age, age², gender and weight, excluding AOGC data (Table 2). The MEF2C locus (rs1366594) replicated strongly in association with FN BMD, and to a lesser extent forearm BMD, but not with LS-BMD. The WNT4/ZBTB40 locus (rs113784679) also replicated in association with FN BMD, and to a lesser extent LS-BMD. For both these loci the direction of association was concordant in the discovery and replication sets. The NPR3 locus (rs9292469) showed no association with LS-BMD, but, although not withstanding correction for testing 4 SNPs, was weakly associated with BMD measured at the forearm (p = 0.0198) and FN (p = 0.06), the direction of association being concordant with the discovery set. The SPON1 locus (rs2697825) was associated weakly (not withstanding multiple testing correction) with BMD measured at the forearm (p = 0.029); however, the direction of effect was discordant, and no association was seen at the LS or FN. Unfortunately, non-weight adjusted GEFOS GWAS meta-data were not available for replication.

3.4. Stage 3: gene expression

3.4.1. Gene expression in primary human osteoblasts

We tested the association of potential functional SNPs with cis-eQTL expression of genes in human osteoblasts from 95 donors [31] in whom genotype data were available and imputed to a combined UK10K/ 1000G reference panel [23]. We identified potential target genes based on cis-eQTL evidence in human osteoblasts for two of four of our lead SNPs (Supplementary Table 7). Whilst rs113784679 was identified as having a potential target gene of WNT4 (p = 0.002), rs1366594 (MEF2C nearest gene) had no evidence of a target gene in osteoblasts. eQTL data did not support a specific gene association for rs9292469 (NPR3 nearest gene) (osteoclast and chondrocyte expression data were not available). SNPs in LD with rs2697825, which lies within an intron (3/16) of SPON1, were associated with BTBD10 expression after Bonferroni correction (Supplementary Tables 8 & 9). BTBD10 has not previously been associated with bone regulation. We assessed all SNPs lying 500 kb either side of BTBD10 in GEFOS 2015 meta-analysis summary data; using ANNOVAR for SNP annotation 66,654 SNPs were assessed for association with BMD (at FN, LS and forearm) [39], but no evidence of association was detected (all $p > 5 \times 10^{-4}$).

3.4.2. Expression of Spon1 and Npr3 in murine osteocytes

Spon1 and Npr3 were investigated as the two genes lying closest to



rs2697825

Fig. 3. *SPON1* regional association plot of the unexplained HBM cases and AOGC Low BMD controls in a quantitative trait GWAS of total hip BMD Z-Score, adjusted for age, age² and centre (1000 kb either side of rs2697825 shown). Square symbols indicate imputed SNPs; circles indicate those genotyped.



rs9292469

Fig. 4. *NPR3* regional association plot of the unexplained HBM, AOGC High BMD cases and AOGC Low BMD controls in a quantitative trait GWAS of lumbar spine BMD Z-Score, adjusted for age, age² and centre (1000 kb either side of rs9292469 shown). Square symbols indicate imputed SNPs; circles indicate those genotyped.

SNPs identified in Stage 1. *Spon1* was highly expressed in osteocytes in all four bone types forming part of a characteristic "osteocyte signature", which is a list of genes significantly enriched in osteocytes relative to other cells in the marrow space and actively expressed in every replicate (Table 3). *Npr3* was expressed in osteocytes although at much lower levels than *Spon1* and despite some variability it was actively expressed in all tibia and 7 of 8 humeri samples. Considering the osteoblast eQTL results, we also examined *Btbd10* expression. *Btbd10* was expressed in whole femur, tibia, humerus and skull but its expression was not enriched in osteocytes.

4. Discussion

Firstly, we have identified over-representation of signal from known BMD loci, implying that unexplained HBM is, at least in part, polygenic in origin, influenced by common genetic variation. Secondly, our GWAS has identified two genome-wide significant SNPs, rs9292469 (3' of *NPR3*) and rs2697825 (within an intron of *SPON1*), and confirmed two established BMD-associated loci, *MEF2C* and *WNT4/ZBTB40*, despite our limited sample size. Replication of rs9292469 was only weak (concordant at distal forearm, rather than LS) and for rs2697825 was discordant for both site (distal forearm, rather than hip) and direction. However, two genes at these loci are expressed in bone, and mutations of these genes in mice have been shown to influence bone mineral content (BMC) (see below), giving support to these as novel HBM-associated genes, although further investigation is warranted.

4.1. Established BMD-associated loci

Of the four BMD-associated loci published by Estrada et al. and identified in our analysis with $p < 5 \times 10^{-5}$, both *MEF2C* (for which we found the strongest evidence of association) and *SOX6* regulate endochondral ossification, linking this pathway to high BMD. *MEF2C* controls chondrocyte hypertrophy, cartilage ossification, and long-itudinal bone growth in mice [40]. In addition to its role in bone mass accrual during skeletal growth, endochondral ossification is involved in the formation of osteophytes and enthesophytes [41–43], both prevalent in unexplained HBM [14]. This raises the possibility that variation in endochondral ossification pathway genes may be particularly

relevant in HBM, contributing pleiotropically to both higher BMD and the wider associated skeletal phenotype. Besides *WNT4*, several SNPs annotated to Wnt/ β -catenin signalling pathway genes (*MEF2C*, *CTNNB1*, *RSPO3*, and *WLS*), suggesting enhanced osteoblast activity may also contribute to the HBM phenotype.

4.2. NPR3 (natriuretic peptide receptor 3)

The transmembrane protein NPR3 acts as a clearance receptor modulating C-type natriuretic peptide (CNP) activity [37, 38]. The importance of natriuretic peptide (NP) signalling in bone is increasingly recognised. Natriuretic peptides ANP, BNP and CNP bind to three NP receptors (NPRs): ANP and BNP preferentially bind to NPR1; NPR2 to CNP; and NPR3 to all three NPs with similar affinity. Additionally, osteocrin, a protein secreted by osteoblast-lineage cells with homology to NPs, also binds to NPR3 and can displace CNP, with subsequent enhanced signalling through NPR2 [44]. NPR2 and NPR3 are both expressed in chondrocytes and osteoblasts [28, 37]. Disrupted NP signalling results in marked skeletal phenotypes, with evidence from both mouse and human data.

In humans, enhanced signalling results from autosomal dominant activating NPR2 mutations causing bony overgrowth and tall stature [41] (MIM# 615923). CNP overproduction causes bony overgrowth and childhood skeletal abnormalities, particularly affecting stature, vertebrae and digital length [33-36] (MIM# 600296). Mice overexpressing BNP or CNP have elongated bones [45, 46], as do those overexpressing osteocrin, where NPR3 clearance of CNP is reduced, resulting in increased NPR2 signalling [44]. Multiple spontaneous and ENU (N-ethyl-N-nitroso urea) mouse strains with Npr3 mutations have skeletal phenotypes with increased linear growth, bone area and kyphosis, as endochondral ossification is delayed expanding the growth plate [47-50]. BMC is increased in female, but not male homozygous ENU mice, but as bone size is also increased, BMD is normal [48]. A single histological section, which requires further validation, has suggested trabeculae may be thicker and longer [49]. This skeletal overgrowth due to impaired endochondral ossification is thought to result from increased activation of p38 MAPK signalling [47-49], which presumably delays growth plate quiescence.

In contrast, in humans attenuated NP signalling from loss-of-

rsID	Closest gene/ candidate	EA EA	AF Stage 1: to	tal hip BMD Z-score	Stage 1: lur score	nbar spine BMD Z-	GEFOS EAF	Stage 2: GEF BMD	OS excluding AOGC FN	Stage 2: GEF AOGC LS BN	OS excluding D	Stage 2: GEF0 Forearm BMD	OS excluding AOGC
			в	p	β	р		β	b	ß	Ь	β	b
rs1366594	MEF2C	C 0.	47 -0.191	4.83×10^{-10}	-0.103	$7.85 imes 10^{-3}$	0.47	-0.073	$2.93\times\mathbf{10^{-20}}$	-0.003	0.77	-0.077	$3.40 imes 10^{-6}$
rs113784679	WNT4/ZBTB40	T 0.(04 0.511	$\textbf{8.40} \times \textbf{10}^{-9}$	0.215	$6.19 imes10^{-2}$	0.04	0.080	$\mathbf{1.89 imes 10^{-4}}$	0.058	0.022	-0.002	0.97
rs9292469	NPR3	T 0.:	33 0.108	$1.00 imes 10^{-3}$	0.232	$2.70 imes \mathbf{10^{-8}}$	0.35	0.015	0.060	0.002	0.84	0.040	0.0198
rs2697825	SPONI	G 0.	$17 0.310^{a}$	$4.93 imes 10^{-8}$	0.188 ^a	$3.92 imes10^{-3}$	0.18	0.006	0.55	0.011	0.34	-0.046	0.0293

Table 2

e², centre and 4 PCs. Stage 2 model GEFOS adjusted for age, age², gender and weight.

Stage 1 p $\,<\,5\times10^{-8}$ appears in bold. Stage 2 p $\,<\,0.05$ appears in bold.

EA: Effect Allele (BMD increasing); EAF: Effect Allele Frequency. BMD: Bone Mineral Density. FN: Femoral Neck. LS: Lumbar Spine. SE: Standard Error. ß: effect estimate – represents change in BMD Z-score per copy of the SNP EA.

Table 3

Stage 3 - osteocyte expression by whole transcriptome sequencing of Npr3 and Spon1 in four bone types (tibia, femur, humerus and calvaria) from mice.

	te	5		
	Osteocy	sıgnatu	No	Yes
	Enriched in	osteocyte samples	Yes	Yes
	Expressed in all	bone types	No	Yes
	rrow	dean iPKM	0.21	.46
	Bone And Mai	Activity ^N	Inactive (Active 5/5 1
		Mean FPKM	1.59	7.57
	Clean Bone	Activity	Active 5/5	Active 5/5
,		Mean FPKM	0.24	3.74
`	Calvaria	Activity	Inactive	Active 8/8
7 P		Mean FPKM	1.57	11.0
	Humerus	Activity	Active 7/8	Active 8/8
*		Mean FPKM	0.52	7.17
•	Femur	Activity	Active 2/8	Active 8/8
		Mean FPKM	1.14	12.0
-	Tibia	Activity	Active 8/8	Active 8/8
°	sletal GO		10001501 10002158	:0033688
T .	urom Ske		99 09 19	GC na
	ene Ch	1	15	7
2	MGI g	symbo	Npr3	Spon1

I

MGI: Mouse Genome Informatics.

Mouse Ensembl Ids: Npr3 - ENSMUSG00000022206; Spon 1 - ENSMUSG00000038156.

Skeletal GO: Gene Ontology (http://www.ebi.ac.uk).

Activity: Number of replicates of that bone type with FPKM expression values above active gene threshold.

Clean bone: bone with marrow removed to isolate osteocytes.

Bone and marrow: bone cleaned of connective tissue and growth plates with the marrow left intact. Mean FPKM: Mean gene expression in for gene across samples of bone type normalised for gene length and library size (Fragments Per Kilobase per Million mapped reads).

function *NRP2* mutations cause autosomal recessive acromesomelic dysplasia with extreme short stature [39] (MIM# 602875); heterozygous carriers display reduced height [40] (MIM# 616255). Whilst in mice lacking CNP or with loss-of-function *Npr2* mutations exhibit impaired longitudinal bone growth [51, 52].

In healthy adolescent humans, cross-sectional studies associate CNP synthesis with pubertal linear growth in, supporting its role in endochondral ossification [53]. CNP is a weak natriuretic [54]; the role of CNP/NRP3 regulation in explaining the hyponatraemia-low bone mass association [55] is unknown. A GWAS identified association between an *NPR3* SNP (rs10472828) and adult height and truncal length [38]; importantly additional height-adjusted GWAS did not alter our findings. Of note, rs9292469 was associated with heel Broadband Ultrasound Attenuation (BUA) in the discovery phase of a GWAS of 14,258 participants (p = 3.1×10^{-6}); this finding was not replicated by meta-analysis [56].

NPR3 may be the target gene regulated by rs9292469, as our human osteoblast eQTL data do not support an alternative target, and we and others have shown *Npr3* expression in the mouse skeleton [48]. Previous findings in our unexplained HBM population have shown that although adult height is no different from controls, trabeculae are thicker increasing trabecular density [57, 58], possibly recapitulating observations in *Npr3*-mutant mice [47, 49]. Our previous analyses suggested unexplained HBM protects against age-associated declines in trabecular BMD [57]. It is interesting that association was seen at the lumbar spine, and weakly replicated at the distal forearm (although not the LS); both are 'trabecular-rich' sites. Taken together, our findings are consistent with previous observations of the role of NPR3 in regulating skeletal growth.

4.3. SPON1 (Spondin 1/F-spondin)

rs2697825 is an intronic variant in SPON1. SPON1. coding for an extracellular matrix glycoprotein, has not previously been associated with a bone phenotype in humans. Spon1 knockout mice have a skeletal phenotype consistent with HBM, with $Spon1^{-/-}$ mice at 6 months age having 60% higher bone volume, bone volume/total volume, cortical area and trabecular number with reduced trabecular spacing [59]. Increased trabecular density and reduced endosteal expansion is seen in human HBM [57, 58]. Serum CTX-1 and TRAP levels are normal in Spon1^{-/-} mice; however, TGF- β 1 levels are reduced compared with WT mice, whilst SMAD 1/5 activation is enhanced in both osteoblasts and chondrocytes [59]. Although vertebrae and intramembranous bones have not been characterized in $Spon1^{-/-}$ mice, overall findings suggest reduced F-spondin activity decreases TGF-B1 levels, permitting activation of SMAD family transcription factors which, in conjunction with RUNX-2, promote BMP-driven osteoblast differentiation and hence bone formation [60]. In addition to our observed osteocyte expression, SPON1 expression is evident in several musculoskeletal tissues: the embryonic growth plate cartilage, periodontal tissue and human and rodent osteoarthritic cartilage where SPON1 expression appears to activate TGF- β , inducing cartilage degradation [61–63]. Surprisingly, our eQTL results suggested BTBD10 rather than SPON1 may be the target gene for rs2697825. BTBD10 (Broad-Complex Tramtrack Domain Containing 10) activates AKT by phosphorylation in neuronal and pancreatic beta cells. BTBD10 overexpression accelerates growth of pancreatic beta cells [64]. Despite expression in bone, BTBD10 has no known role in bone regulation.

The relatively high frequency of the four identified SNPs (MAFs 0.04–0.47), compared to the rarity of the HBM phenotype, raises the possibility that these common variants are in LD with rare high-effect variants. Some candidate studies in type 1 diabetes and Alzheimer's disease identified stronger effects from rare variants than the common variants responsible for the initial identification of the associated gene (s) [65, 66]. However, this is not a universal finding; we note the relative paucity of low frequency high-effect variants in a recent fractures

analysis in 508,253 individuals [30]. No associations of rare variants in *NPR3* and *SPON1* with BMD have been reported to date. Segregation studies show the majority of BMD heritability is polygenic [67–71]. In specific populations, monogenic effects may be observed, but always on a polygenic background [68, 71–73]. The extent to which variation associated with *NPR3* and *SPON1* interacts with the enriched background polygenic architecture in HBM is unclear; we lacked power to assess gene-gene interactions. However, our ability to detect association with *NPR3* and *SPON1* loci, despite our relatively small sample size, is testament to their likely effect sizes in this unusual population.

GWAS of the AOGC cohort alone has been published previously [6]; however, associations with *NPR3* and *SPON1* loci were not identified. Only 59% of the AOGC have LS BMD available, so adding 232 more extreme HBM cases, in whom artefactual elevations in BMD were excluded, substantially increased statistical power. Moreover, the *SPON1* locus was identified in analyses restricted to 232 unexplained HBM (+AOGC low-BMD) individuals, who arguably represent a more precisely defined and extreme population.

4.4. Limitations

The failure to replicate the associations of these genes at either LS or FN in the GEFOS (minus AOGC) cohort suggests that either there are differences in the genetic structure determining BMD in the extreme discovery cohort compared with the general population; alternately, these findings are false positives or the failure to replicate is a false negative, due to statistical power issues or differences in covariate handling. That the SPON1 locus was identified in analyses restricted to a more precisely defined and extreme population supports variation at this locus being more specific to HBM individuals; however, this was not the case for the NPR3 locus. Given the large size of the GEFOS cohort, failure to replicate even at nominal levels of significance, indicates that at the very least these variants do not have the same effect size in the general population as observed here. Distinguishing between these explanations requires further studies in extreme bone mass cohorts, in much larger BMD association databases, or in genetically modified animals. Unfortunately, no second extreme BMD population currently exists, hence our use of a large general population dataset of DXA-measured BMD. It was not possible to re-run a non-weight adjusted GWAS for BMD across all GEFOS cohorts for meta-analysis. Comparisons between our study and those reported in the general population are hindered by these differences; we were reluctant to adjust for weight as this a known feature of unexplained HBM [28]. Generalisability of our findings is limited to Caucasian females, given the small number of men in this study. Genotype data came from a range of platforms which introduces heterogeneity and thus could have biased the discovery results. Our use of stringent 'information score' thresholds and concordance filters may also have missed true associations. Lastly, whilst we included both osteocyte and osteoblast expression data, osteoclast and chondrocyte expression data were lacking.

5. Conclusions

Common variation in established BMD genes is over-represented in unexplained HBM, suggesting HBM is, at least in part, polygenic in origin with contribution from the same genes that determine BMD in the general population. Functional annotation suggested that genes particularly contributing to the HBM phenotype are involved in endochondral ossification and osteoblast differentiation and activity. Two novel BMD-associated loci have been identified with candidate genes *NRP3* and *SPON1*, associated with lumbar spine and hip BMD respectively. These findings are supported by *NRP3* and *SPON1* bone expression data; further, both *Npr3* and *Spon1* have reported mouse models with altered skeletal phenotypes providing biological validation that these genes play a functional role in bone. Whilst small, our GWAS results are certainly hypothesis-generating and highlight potentially new anabolic bone regulatory pathways which warrant further study.

Acknowledgments (see Supplementary data)

We thank GEFOS and the Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE54461) for their publicly available data.

Funding

CLG was funded by the Wellcome Trust (080280/Z/06/Z), the EU 7th Framework Programme under grant agreement number 247642 (GEoCoDE), a British Geriatric Society travel grant, and Arthritis Research UK (grant ref. 20000). This study was supported by the NIHR CRN (portfolio number 5163). The AOGC was funded by the National Health and Medical Research Council (Australia) (grant ref. 511132). GRW, JHDB and PIC are funded by a Wellcome Trust Strategic Award (grant ref. 101123). LP and DE work in a unit which receives UK Medical Research Council funding (MC_UU_12013/4).

Author contributions

Study design CG, PL, DB, GW, SY, PC, GDS, MB, JH, ED; Study conduct CG, MA, JT, ED; Data collection CG; Data analysis CG, FN, PL. LP, MM, GC, JM, XB, SY, PC; Data interpretation CG, FN, LP, JM, SY, PC, DE, JK, MB, JT, ED; Drafting manuscript CG, JT, ED; Revising manuscript CG, LP, JM, DB, GW, SY, PC, DE, JK, MB, ED. Approving final version CG, LP, JK, JT, ED. CG, FN, PL, and ED take responsibility for the integrity of the data analysis.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2018.06.001.

References

- [1] R. Burge, B. Dawson-Hughes, D.H. Solomon, J.B. Wong, A. King, A. Tosteson, Incidence and economic burden of osteoporosis-related fractures in the United States, 2005–2025, J. Bone Miner. Res. 22 (3) (2007) 465–475.
- [2] N.M. Appelman-Dijkstra, S.E. Papapoulos, Sclerostin inhibition in the management of osteoporosis, Calcif. Tissue Int. 98 (4) (2016) 370–380.
- [3] K. Estrada, U. Styrkarsdottir, E. Evangelou, Y.H. Hsu, E.L. Duncan, E.E. Ntzani, et al., Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies 56 bone mineral density loci and reveals 14 loci associated with risk of fracture, Nat. Genet. 44 (5) (2012) 491–501.
- [4] J.P. Kemp, J.A. Morris, C. Medina-Gomez, V. Forgetta, N.M. Warrington, S.E. Youlten, et al., Identification of 153 new loci associated with heel bone mineral density and functional involvement of GPC6 in osteoporosis, Nat. Genet. 49 (10) (2017) 1468–1475.
- [5] C.L. Gregson, L. Wheeler, S.A. Hardcastle, L.H. Appleton, K.A. Addison, M. Brugmans, et al., Mutations in known monogenic high bone mass loci only explain a small proportion of high bone mass cases, J. Bone Miner. Res. 31 (3) (2015) 640–649.
- [6] E.L. Duncan, P. Danoy, J.P. Kemp, P.J. Leo, E. McCloskey, G.C. Nicholson, et al., Genome-wide association study using extreme truncate selection identifies novel genes affecting bone mineral density and fracture risk, PLoS Genet. 7 (4) (2011) e1001372.
- [7] M.B. Lanktree, R.A. Hegele, N.J. Schork, J.D. Spence, Extremes of unexplained variation as a phenotype: an efficient approach for genome-wide association studies of cardiovascular disease, Circ. Cardiovasc. Genet. 3 (2) (2010) 215–221.
- [8] E.B. Robinson, K.C. Koenen, M.C. McCormick, K. Munir, V. Hallett, F. Happe, et al., Evidence that autistic traits show the same etiology in the general population and at the quantitative extremes (5%, 2.5%, and 1%), Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 68 (11) (2011) 1113–1121.
- [9] S. Hu, Y. Zhong, Y. Hao, M. Luo, Y. Zhou, H. Guo, et al., Novel rare alleles of ABCA1 are exclusively associated with extreme high-density lipoprotein-cholesterol levels among the Han Chinese, Clin. Chem. Lab. Med. 47 (10) (2009) 1239–1245.
- [10] L. Paternoster, D.M. Evans, E.A. Nohr, C. Holst, V. Gaborieau, P. Brennan, et al., Genome-wide population-based association study of extremely overweight young adults—the GOYA study, PLoS One 6 (9) (2011) e24303.
- [11] R. Plomin, C.M. Haworth, O.S. Davis, Common disorders are quantitative traits, Nat. Rev. Genet. 10 (12) (2009) 872–878.
- [12] I.J. Barnett, S. Lee, X. Lin, Detecting rare variant effects using extreme phenotype sampling in sequencing association studies, Genet. Epidemiol. 37 (2) (2013) 142–151.
- [13] C.L. Gregson, S.A. Steel, K.P. O'Rourke, K. Allan, J. Ayuk, A. Bhalla, et al., 'Sink or

swim': an evaluation of the clinical characteristics of individuals with high bone mass, Osteoporos. Int. 23 (2) (2012) 643-654.

- [14] S.A. Hardcastle, P. Dieppe, C.L. Gregson, N.K. Arden, T.D. Spector, D.J. Hart, et al., Osteophytes, enthesophytes and high bone mass; a bone-forming triad with relevance for osteoarthritis? Arthritis Rheum. 66 (9) (2014) 2429–2439.
- [15] R.D. Little, J.P. Carulli, R.G. Del Mastro, J. Dupuis, M. Osborne, C. Folz, et al., A mutation in the LDL receptor-related protein 5 gene results in the autosomal dominant high-bone-mass trait, Am. J. Hum. Genet. 70 (1) (2002) 11–19.
- [16] C.C. Gluer, R. Eastell, D.M. Reid, D. Felsenberg, C. Roux, R. Barkmann, et al., Association of five quantitative ultrasound devices and bone densitometry with osteoporotic vertebral fractures in a population-based sample: the OPUS Study, J. Bone Miner. Res. 19 (5) (2004) 782–793.
- [17] E. McCloskey, P. Selby, M. Davies, J. Robinson, R.M. Francis, J. Adams, et al., Clodronate reduces vertebral fracture risk in women with postmenopausal or secondary osteoporosis: results of a double-blind, placebo-controlled 3-year study, J. Bone Miner. Res. 19 (5) (2004) 728–736.
- [18] L.A. Simons, J. McCallum, J. Simons, I. Powell, J. Ruys, R. Heller, et al., The Dubbo study: an Australian prospective community study of the health of elderly, Aust. NZ J. Med. 20 (6) (1990) 783–789.
- [19] S. Purcell, B. Neale, K. Todd-Brown, L. Thomas, M.A. Ferreira, D. Bender, et al., PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses, Am. J. Hum. Genet. 81 (3) (2007) 559–575.
- [20] A.L. Price, M.E. Weale, N. Patterson, S.R. Myers, A.C. Need, K.V. Shianna, et al., Long-range LD can confound genome scans in admixed populations, Am. J. Hum. Genet. 83 (1) (2008) 132–135 (author reply 5–9).
- [21] B.N. Howie, P. Donnelly, J. Marchini, A flexible and accurate genotype imputation method for the next generation of genome-wide association studies, PLoS Genet. 5 (6) (2009) e1000529.
- [22] O. Delaneau, J. Marchini, J.-F. Zagury, A linear complexity phasing method for thousands of genomes, Nat. Methods 9 (2011) 179.
- [23] J. Huang, B. Howie, S. McCarthy, Y. Memari, K. Walter, J.L. Min, et al., Improved imputation of low-frequency and rare variants using the UK10K haplotype reference panel, Nat. Commun. 6 (2015) 8111.
- [24] S.S. Verma, M. de Andrade, G. Tromp, H. Kuivaniemi, E. Pugh, B. Namjou, et al., Imputation and quality control steps for combining multiple genome-wide datasets, Front. Genet. 5 (370) (2014).
- [25] K. Walter, J.L. Min, J. Huang, L. Crooks, Y. Memari, S. McCarthy, et al., The UK10K project identifies rare variants in health and disease, Nature 526 (7571) (2015) 82–90.
- [26] S. Greenland, M.A. Mansournia, D.G. Altman, Sparse data bias: a problem hiding in plain sight, BMJ 352 (2016).
- [27] R.J. Pruim, R.P. Welch, S. Sanna, T.M. Teslovich, P.S. Chines, T.P. Gliedt, et al., LocusZoom: regional visualization of genome-wide association scan results, Bioinformatics 26 (18) (2010) 2336–2337.
- [28] C.L. Gregson, M.A. Paggiosi, N. Crabtree, S.A. Steel, E. Mccloskey, E.L. Duncan, et al., Analysis of body composition in individuals with high bone mass reveals a marked increase in fat mass in women but not men, J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 98 (2) (2013) 818–828.
- [29] Wellcome Trust Case Control C, Genome-wide association study of 14,000 cases of seven common diseases and 3,000 shared controls, Nature 447 (7145) (2007) 661–678.
- [30] H.F. Zheng, V. Forgetta, Y.H. Hsu, K. Estrada, A. Rosello-Diez, P.J. Leo, et al., Whole-genome sequencing identifies EN1 as a determinant of bone density and fracture, Nature 526 (7571) (2015) 112–117.
- [31] E. Grundberg, T. Kwan, B. Ge, K.C. Lam, V. Koka, A. Kindmark, et al., Population genomics in a disease targeted primary cell model, Genome Res. 19 (11) (2009) 1942–1952.
- [32] S. Youlten, P. Baldock, V. Leitch, J. Quinn, N. Bartonicek, R. Chai, et al., Osteocytes Express a Unique Transcriptome That Underpins Skeletal Homeostasis, American Society for Bone and Mineral Research, Denver, Colarado, 2017http://www.asbmr. org/education/2017-abstracts.
- [33] F. Krueger, "Trim galore." A wrapper tool around Cutadapt and FastQC to consistently apply quality and adapter trimming to FastQ files, Available from: https:// www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/, .
- [34] J. Harrow, A. Frankish, J.M. Gonzalez, E. Tapanari, M. Diekhans, F. Kokocinski, et al., GENCODE: the reference human genome annotation for The ENCODE Project, Genome Res. 22 (9) (2012) 1760–1774.
- [35] A. Dobin, C.A. Davis, F. Schlesinger, J. Drenkow, C. Zaleski, S. Jha, et al., STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner, Bioinformatics 29 (1) (2013) 15–21.
- [36] B. Li, C.N. Dewey, RSEM: accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome, BMC Bioinformatics 12 (1) (2011) 323.
- [37] T. Hart, H.K. Komori, S. LaMere, K. Podshivalova, D.R. Salomon, Finding the active genes in deep RNA-seq gene expression studies, BMC Genomics 14 (2013) 778.
- [38] N. Soranzo, F. Rivadeneira, U. Chinappen-Horsley, I. Malkina, J.B. Richards, N. Hammond, et al., Meta-analysis of genome-wide scans for human adult stature identifies novel Loci and associations with measures of skeletal frame size, PLoS Genet. 5 (4) (2009) e1000445.
- [39] K. Wang, M. Li, H. Hakonarson, ANNOVAR: functional annotation of genetic variants from high-throughput sequencing data, Nucleic Acids Res. 38 (16) (2010) e164.
- [40] M.A. Arnold, Y. Kim, M.P. Czubryt, D. Phan, J. McAnally, X. Qi, et al., MEF2C transcription factor controls chondrocyte hypertrophy and bone development, Dev. Cell 12 (3) (2007) 377–389.
- [41] M. Benjamin, A. Rufai, J.R. Ralphs, The mechanism of formation of bony spurs (enthesophytes) in the achilles tendon, Arthritis Rheum. 43 (3) (2000) 576–583.
- [42] D.T. Felson, T. Neogi, Osteoarthritis: is it a disease of cartilage or of bone? Arthritis

Rheum. 50 (2) (2004) 341-344.

- [43] P.M. van der Kraan, W.B. van den Berg, Osteophytes: relevance and biology, Osteoarthritis Cart. 15 (3) (2007) 237–244.
- [44] P. Moffatt, G. Thomas, K. Sellin, M.C. Bessette, F. Lafreniere, O. Akhouayri, et al., Osteocrin is a specific ligand of the natriuretic Peptide clearance receptor that modulates bone growth, J. Biol. Chem. 282 (50) (2007) 36454–36462.
- [45] H. Chusho, Y. Ogawa, N. Tamura, M. Suda, A. Yasoda, T. Miyazawa, et al., Genetic models reveal that brain natriuretic peptide can signal through different tissuespecific receptor-mediated pathways, Endocrinology 141 (10) (2000) 3807–3813.
- [46] A. Yasoda, Y. Komatsu, H. Chusho, T. Miyazawa, A. Ozasa, M. Miura, et al., Overexpression of CNP in chondrocytes rescues achondroplasia through a MAPKdependent pathway, Nat. Med. 10 (2003) 80.
- [47] J. Jaubert, F. Jaubert, N. Martin, L.L. Washburn, B.K. Lee, E.M. Eicher, et al., Three new allelic mouse mutations that cause skeletal overgrowth involve the natriuretic peptide receptor C gene (Npr3), Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 96 (18) (1999) 10278–10283.
- [48] C.T. Esapa, S.E. Piret, M.A. Nesbit, N.Y. Loh, G. Thomas, P.I. Croucher, et al., Mice with an N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea (ENU) induced Tyr209Asn mutation in natriuretic peptide receptor 3 (NPR3) provide a model for kyphosis associated with activation of the MAPK signaling pathway, PLoS One 11 (12) (2016) e0167916.
- [49] N. Matsukawa, W.J. Grzesik, N. Takahashi, K.N. Pandey, S. Pang, M. Yamauchi, et al., The natriuretic peptide clearance receptor locally modulates the physiological effects of the natriuretic peptide system, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 96 (13) (1999) 7403–7408.
- [50] Beutler B. Mutagenetix, Center for the Genetics of Host Defense, UT Southwestern, Dallas, TX, Available from: https://mutagenetix.utsouthwestern.edu.
- [51] H. Chusho, N. Tamura, Y. Ogawa, A. Yasoda, M. Suda, T. Miyazawa, et al., Dwarfism and early death in mice lacking C-type natriuretic peptide, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 98 (7) (2001) 4016–4021.
- [52] T. Tsuji, T. Kunieda, A loss-of-function mutation in natriuretic peptide receptor 2 (Npr2) gene is responsible for disproportionate dwarfism in cn/cn mouse, J. Biol. Chem. 280 (14) (2005) 14288–14292.
- [53] R.C. Olney, J.W. Permuy, T.C. Prickett, J.C. Han, E.A. Espiner, Amino-terminal propeptide of C-type natriuretic peptide (NTproCNP) predicts height velocity in healthy children, Clin. Endocrinol. 77 (3) (2012) 416–422.
- [54] N.G. Lumsden, R.S. Khambata, A.J. Hobbs, C-type natriuretic peptide (CNP): cardiovascular roles and potential as a therapeutic target, Curr. Pharm. Des. 16 (37) (2010) 4080–4088.
- [55] R.L. Usala, S.J. Fernandez, M. Mete, L. Cowen, N.M. Shara, J. Barsony, et al., Hyponatremia is associated with increased osteoporosis and bone fractures in a large US health system population, J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 100 (8) (2015) 3021–3031.
- [56] A. Moayyeri, Y.H. Hsu, D. Karasik, K. Estrada, S.M. Xiao, C. Nielson, et al., Genetic determinants of heel bone properties: genome-wide association meta-analysis and replication in the GEFOS/GENOMOS consortium, Hum. Mol. Genet. 23 (11) (2014) 3054–3068.
- [57] C.L. Gregson, A. Sayers, V. Lazar, S. Steel, E.M. Dennison, C. Cooper, et al., The high bone mass phenotype is characterised by a combined cortical and trabecular bone phenotype: findings from a pQCT case-control study, Bone 52 (1) (2013) 380–388.
- [58] C.L. Gregson, K.E.S. Poole, E.V. McCloskey, E.L. Duncan, J. Rittweger, W.D. Fraser,

et al., Elevated circulating sclerostin concentrations in individuals with high bone mass, with and without LRP5 mutations, J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 99 (8) (2014) 2897–2907.

- [59] G.D. Palmer, M.G. Attur, Q. Yang, J. Liu, P. Moon, F. Beier, et al., F-spondin deficient mice have a high bone mass phenotype, PLoS One 9 (5) (2014) e98388.
- [60] A. Javed, J.S. Bae, F. Afzal, S. Gutierrez, J. Pratap, S.K. Zaidi, et al., Structural coupling of Smad and Runx2 for execution of the BMP2 osteogenic signal, J. Biol. Chem. 283 (13) (2008) 8412–8422.
- [61] G.D. Palmer, A.H. Piton, L.M. Thant, S.M. Oliveira, M. D'Angelo, M.G. Attur, et al., F-spondin regulates chondrocyte terminal differentiation and endochondral bone formation, J. Orthop. Res. 28 (10) (2010) 1323–1329.
- [62] M. Kitagawa, M. Ao, M. Miyauchi, Y. Abiko, T. Takata, F-spondin regulates the differentiation of human cementoblast-like (HCEM) cells via BMP7 expression, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 418 (2) (2012) 229–233.
- [63] M.G. Attur, G.D. Palmer, H.E. Al-Mussawir, M. Dave, C.C. Teixeira, D.B. Rifkin, et al., F-spondin, a neuroregulatory protein, is up-regulated in osteoarthritis and regulates cartilage metabolism via TGF-beta activation, FASEB J. 23 (1) (2009) 79–89.
- [64] X. Wang, Y. Liu, Z. Yang, Z. Zhang, W. Zhou, Z. Ye, et al., Glucose metabolismrelated protein 1 (GMRP1) regulates pancreatic beta cell proliferation and apoptosis via activation of Akt signalling pathway in rats and mice, Diabetologia 54 (4) (2011) 852–863.
- [65] S. Nejentsev, N. Walker, D. Riches, M. Egholm, J.A. Todd, Rare variants of IFIH1, a gene implicated in antiviral responses, protect against type 1 diabetes, Science 324 (5925) (2009) 387–389.
- [66] M.K. Lupton, P. Proitsi, M. Danillidou, M. Tsolaki, G. Hamilton, R. Wroe, et al., Deep sequencing of the Nicastrin gene in pooled DNA, the identification of genetic variants that affect risk of Alzheimer's disease, PLoS One 6 (2) (2011) e17298.
- [67] R. Gueguen, P. Jouanny, F. Guillemin, C. Kuntz, J. Pourel, G. Siest, Segregation analysis and variance components analysis of bone mineral density in healthy families, J. Bone Miner. Res. 10 (12) (1995) 2017–2022.
- [68] H.W. Deng, M.C. Mahaney, J.T. Williams, J. Li, T. Conway, K.M. Davies, et al., Relevance of the genes for bone mass variation to susceptibility to osteoporotic fractures and its implications to gene search for complex human diseases, Genet. Epidemiol. 22 (1) (2002) 12–25.
- [69] G. Livshits, H.W. Deng, T.V. Nguyen, K. Yakovenko, R.R. Recker, J.A. Eisman, Genetics of bone mineral density: evidence for a major pleiotropic effect from an intercontinental study, J. Bone Miner. Res. 19 (6) (2004) 914–923.
- [70] L.R. Cardon, C. Garner, S.T. Bennett, I.J. Mackay, R.M. Edwards, J. Cornish, et al., Evidence for a major gene for bone mineral density in idiopathic osteoporotic families, J. Bone Miner. Res. 15 (6) (2000) 1132–1137.
- [71] E.L. Duncan, L.R. Cardon, J.S. Sinsheimer, J.A. Wass, M.A. Brown, Site and gender specificity of inheritance of bone mineral density, J. Bone Miner. Res. 18 (8) (2003) 1531–1538.
- [72] Z. Cohen, L. Kalichman, E. Kobyliansky, I. Malkin, E. Almog, G. Livshits, Cortical index and size of hand bones: segregation analysis and linkage with the 11q12-13 segment, Med. Sci. Monit. 9 (3) (2003) Mt13–20.
- [73] U. Styrkarsdottir, G. Thorleifsson, P. Sulem, D.F. Gudbjartsson, A. Sigurdsson, A. Jonasdottir, et al., Nonsense mutation in the LGR4 gene is associated with several human diseases and other traits, Nature 497 (7450) (2013) 517–520.